AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE SEARCH REPORT

1 77	dicant's or agent's file reference	ce		
MH/EK(FM)/6002111SGC Application No.			application Filing Date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
SG 200302787-7		1	3 May 2003	27 March 2003
	licant	<u>_</u> <u>_</u>	3 Hady 2003	2, 11410112002
	MICROSOFT CORPOR	RATION		
<u> </u>				
This	search report consists of a total of	of 4 sheets.		
	X It is also accompanied by	y a copy of e	ach prior art document cited in this report.	•
l.	Certain claims were for	and unsearch	hable (See Box I)	
2.	Unity of invention is lac	cking (See B	ox II)	
3.	The application contains of the sequence listing	s disclosure o	f a nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence lis	sting and the search was carried out on the basis
	filed with the applie	ication		
	furnished by the ap	pplicant separ	ately from the application,	•
	but not accom	mpanied by a	statement to the effect that it did not include n	natter going beyond the disclosure in application
4.	With regard to the title,	X the t	ext is approved as submitted by the applicant.	
		the t	ext has been established by this Office to read	as follows:
				,817 4 E.
5.	With regard to the abstract,	X the t	ext is approved as submitted by the applicant	
		the to	ext has been established by this Office as it ap	pears in Box III
6.	The figure of the drawings to b	be published	with the abstract is Figure No. 2	
		X as su	ggested by the applicant.	·
		beca	use the applicant failed to suggest a figure	
		beca	use this figure better characterises the inventio	ก
	·	None	e of the figures	

SEARCH REPORT

Application No.

SG 200302787-7

A CLASSYPICATION OF CUID YEST MATTER					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
According to International Patent Classification Int. Cl. 7 G06T 11/00, G06F 9/44	n (IPC)				
			•	······································	
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification)	on system followed by c	classification symbols)			
Documentation searched other than minimum of	locumentation to the ext	tent that such documents a	re included in the fields searc	hed	
Electronic data base consulted during the search DWPI, PCT, USPTO, IEEE, internet (s			h terms used)		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO		<u> </u>			
Category* Citation of document, with	indication, where app	propriate, of the relevan	t passages	Relevant to claim No.	
X, O OpenSG Starter Guide I				1-78	
http://www.opensg.org	/downloads/OpenS	G-1.2.0-DevStarter.po	df>		
	cal White Paper, December 1999 Downloads/rmwhite-1999.pdf>			1-78	
J. Rohlf et al, IRIS Performer: A High Performance Multiprocessing Toolkit for Real- Time 3D Graphics Computer Graphics (Proc. ACM Siggraph 94), pp 381-94, August 1994 http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~gfx/Courses/2002/BigData/papers/Interfaces and			st 1994	1-78	
**************************************	Software Systems/IRIS Performer.pdf> X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C X See patent family annex				
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "X" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step					
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing when the document is taken alone document is taken alone. "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone				ent is combined	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed					
Date of submission of the request to the Australian Patent Office	he search report Date of mailing of the search report				
25 October 2004		2 1 JAN 2005			
25 October 2004 12 January 2005 2 1 JAN 2005 Name and mailing address Authorised officer					
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au MATTHEW HOLLINGWORTH Facsimile No. 61 2 62853929					

SEARCH REPORT

Application No.

SG 200302787-7

C (Continua	tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	H. A. Sowizral et al, <i>The Java 3D API and Virtual Reality</i> IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 53-8, 1999	1-78
x	WO 2001/022370 A1 (SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.), 29 March 2001 the whole document	1-78
Α	US 2003/0132937 A1 (SCHNEIDER et al), 17 July 2003 the whole document	1-78
	·	
·		
	·	

SEARCH REPORT

PATENT FAMILY MEMBERS

Application No. SG 200302787-7

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member					
wo	0122370	AU	78315/00	EP	1224622	US	6570564
		US	2001030647	US	2002060678	US	2002063704
		US	2002063713	US	2002089508		
US	2003132937	EP	1304655	JP	2003162733		

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

END OF ANNEX

WRITTEN OPINION

		Date of mailing day/month/year 2 1 JAN 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference MH/EK(FM)/6002111SGC		REPLY DUE within FIVE MONTHS of the date of the Registrar's letter enclosing the written opinion			
Application No.	Application Filing D	ate (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
SG 200302787-7	Application Filing Date (day/month/year) 13 May 2003		27 March 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) (as Int. Cl. ⁷ G06T 11/00, G06F 9/44	International Patent Classification (IPC) (as indicated in the search report)				
Applicant MICROSOFT CORPORATION					
1. This First written opinion consists of					
2. This opinion contains indications relating	ng to the following iter	ns:.			
I X Basis of the opinion		•			
Il Non-establishment of op	inion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step a	nd industrial applicability		
III Lack of unity of inventio	n				
IV X Reasoned statement with citations and explanation	IV X Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
V Certain documents cited			and the second s		
VI Certain defects in the application		unio Para I in Iran			
VII X Certain observations on the application					
3. This opinion is based upon the assumption that the priority claim is valid.					
4. The search report used was issued by the Australian Patent Office, and the date of completion is: 12 January 2005					
5. If no reply is filed, the examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.					
6. The date by which the examination report will be established is: 27 June 2007					
Name and mailing address	1	Authorized Officer			
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL E-mail address: pet@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile no. 61 2 62853929	.lA	MATTHEW HOLLINGWORTH			

WRITTEN OPINION

Application No. SG 200302787-7

I.	Basis of the opin	ion				
l.	. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of:					
	X the application a	as originally filed.				
	the description,	pages , as originally filed,				
		pages , filed with the request,				
		pages , received on with the letter of				
	the claims,	pages , as originally filed,				
		pages , filed with the request,				
		pages , received on with the letter of				
	the drawings,	sheets/fig. , as originally filed,				
		sheets/fig. , filed with the request,				
		sheets/fig. , received on with the letters of				
	the sequence list	ing part of the description:				
•		pages , as originally filed				
		pages , filed with the demand				
		pages , received on with the letter of				
2.	The amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of: pages:				
		sheets of drawings/figures No:				
3		been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to sclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box.				
4.	Additional observations	s, if necessary:				
		·				
•						

WRITTEN OPINION

Application No.

SG 200302787-7

IV. Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

,						
1.	1. Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims 2-45, 47-69, 71-78	YES			
		Claims 1, 46, 70	NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES			
		Claims 1-78	NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-78	YES			
	·	Clauns	МО			

2. Citations and explanations

- D1: OpenSG Starter Guide 1.2.0, 19 March 2003
- D2: RM Scene Graph Technical White Paper, December 1999
- D3: J. Rohlf et al, IRIS Performer: A High Performance Multiprocessing Toolkit for Real-Time 3D Graphics, August 1994
- D4: H. A. Sowizral et al, The Java 3D API and Virtual Reality, 1999
- D5: WO 2001/022370 A1 (SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.), 29 March 2001

NOVELTY (N) claims 1, 46, 70

Claims 1, 46 and 70, at least: These claims lack novelty when compared to each of documents D1 and D2, taken individually.

INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 1-78

Claims 1-78: Prima facie, the features of these claims lack inventive step in light of any of the listed citations.

Application No.

WRITTEN OPINION

SG 200302787-7

TAGE PER OF A VIOLE	30 200302787-7
VII. Certain observations on the application	
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the ques supported by the description, are made:	tion whether the claims are fully
•	
	·
X The claimed invention is patentable according to Section 13(2); or	
The claimed invention is unpatentable according to Section 13(2) because:	
,	. 1